

TRANSNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CHRISTIAN COLLEGES AND SCHOOLS (TRACS)

ACCREDITATION COMMISSION ACTION

Public Disclosure Statement Regarding the Change of Status for

Faith Theological Seminary Baltimore, MD

From ACCREDITED to ACCREDITED – ON SHOW CAUSE Issued by the Accreditation Commission on December 17, 2019

Faith Theological Seminary: The following information is provided by the Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools (TRACS) regarding the current status of Faith Theological Seminary (FTS). Information presented is made available in accordance with TRACS policy. Neither the TRACS Accreditation Commission nor the staff of TRACS will make further comment regarding this institution.

What action has the TRACS Accreditation Commission taken with regard to Faith Theological Seminary? On November 5, 2019, the Accreditation Commission voted to defer granting Reaffirmation I and to place FTS on *Show Cause*. FTS will have the time period specified by policy to Show Cause why its Accreditation should not be terminated. The Commission action became final on December 17, 2019, upon the expiration of the appeal period.

What is the accreditation status of Faith Theological Seminary? The institution will maintain the current *Accredited – On Show Cause* status until the April 2020 meeting of the Accreditation Commission.

What will happen at the next meeting? At the next meeting, the TRACS Accreditation Commission has the following options:

If the institution corrects its deficiencies and demonstrates compliance with the Accreditation Standards noted below, the Accreditation Commission shall remove all adverse action against the institution.

If the institution has not corrected its deficiencies and demonstrated compliance with the Accreditation Standards noted below within the period allowed, the Accreditation Commission will terminate the Accreditation of the institution.

Why was the accreditation of Faith Theological Seminary changed to Accredited - on Show Cause? This action of the Accreditation Commission was based on the determination that the institution's non-compliance with the Accreditation Standards is substantively significant and rises to the level where the institution's ability to fulfill its mission or to provide a quality educational experience consistent with that associated with an accredited post-secondary institution is in question. In summary, the Commission found that FTS could not demonstrate compliance with Standards 5.1, 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 17.3, and 17.12.