

The following Accreditation Standards revisions were INITIALLY approved by the TRACS Accreditation Commission at the October 2022 meeting and are being sent out for public comment. After receiving and appropriately incorporating relevant comments, these Standards will be submitted to the TRACS Accreditation Commission for FINAL approval at the April 2023 meeting. **Additions are noted in green / Deletions are crossed out and noted in red.**

6.1 The institution's Board, of not less than 5 voting members, is the legally constituted body that **exists without conflict of interest**, holds the institution in trust, **has exercises** appropriate oversight in matters of policy, ~~operation and evaluation~~, and **evaluates its own effectiveness**, ~~and addresses potential conflicts of interest~~.

At proprietary institutions, the Board provides a list of all shareholders and adopts a detailed succession plan regarding the holdings in the event of the death or incapacitation of any one shareholder who controls 33% or more of the shares. (IER)

7.4 ~~Policies are adopted for the evaluation of Board members and all employees with clear due~~ **Clear Due Process / Grievance policies and process** procedures have been established for all constituents of the institution. ~~the Board, administration, faculty, staff, and students~~. Documentation of **any Due Process / Grievance proceedings** are made available to TRACS upon request.

8.1 The institution has at least one ~~academic program at the diploma, certificate, associate, bachelor, masters, and/or doctoral level~~ **Certificate, Diploma, or Degree (Associate, Baccalaureate, Graduate, or Post-Graduate)**. All degree programs offered are ~~(based on~~ at least 60 semester credit hours or equivalent at the associate level; at least 120 semester credit hours or the equivalent at the bachelor level, or at least 30 semester credit hours or the equivalent at the graduate or professional level.) **(IER)**

9.1 The institution employs a sufficient number of full-time **and part-time**, academically, and spiritually qualified faculty for the programs it offers to teach and perform related duties, such as advising, and the curricular oversight needed for the institution to fulfill its mission. (IER)

11.3 The institution demonstrates credit lines or other liquid reserves adequate to ensure operations at all times. **Proprietary institutions demonstrate a pattern of cash distributions to shareholders of no more than 25% of net income.** (IER)

The following policy revisions were INITIALLY approved by the TRACS Accreditation Commission at the October 2022 meeting and are being sent out for public comment. After receiving and appropriately incorporating relevant comments, these Standards will be submitted to the TRACS Accreditation Commission for FINAL approval at the April 2023 meeting. **Additions are noted in green / Deletions are crossed out and noted in red.**

BP104 – Complaints Against Member Institutions or TRACS

BP202 – Institutional Leadership Reporting to TRACS

BP307 – Third-Party Comments

BP104

Complaints Against Member Institutions or TRACS

Reference: None
 Adoption Date: June 2000
 Last Revision Date: ~~April 2019~~ October 2022

~~The Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools (TRACS) values the role of information provided by students, employees, and others in performing its role of monitoring institutional compliance with TRACS Accreditation Standards. TRACS is also interested in assuring that member institutions maintain appropriate grievance and due process procedures, provide procedural fairness, and consistently apply their policies and procedures.~~

~~COMPLAINTS AGAINST INSTITUTIONS~~

~~The TRACS procedures for the review of complaints involving member institutions are designed to enable TRACS to address possible violations of the TRACS Standards and the proper and uniform application by institutions of their own policies and procedures, as they relate to the TRACS Accreditation Standards.~~

~~TRACS only considers complaints which are in accordance with the following:~~

- ~~• The complaint or allegation contains no defamatory statements.~~
- ~~• All attempts have been taken to resolve the issue through all formal means available to the complainant, including the institution's published grievance and due process procedures, before the complaint is submitted to TRACS.~~
- ~~• The complaint is not currently in an institution's formal proceedings or in litigation. (TRACS may, at its discretion, choose to proceed with the review in such cases if there is substantial, credible evidence that indicates systemic problems with the institution against which a complaint has been filed or if a delay would harm the complainant.)~~
- ~~• Complaints are submitted in writing on the TRACS Complaint Processing Form and in accordance with the provisions detailed on the TRACS Complaint Information Sheet. (Complaints made verbally, sent electronically, or sent through facsimile transmission will not be accepted.)~~
- ~~• Two hard copies of all materials are sent to: President, Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest VA 24551.~~
- ~~• TRACS will not act on complaints submitted on behalf of another individual, are anonymous, or complaints sent through channels other than those provided.~~

~~The TRACS complaint procedures are for the purpose of addressing any significant noncompliance by member institutions with the TRACS Accreditation Standards, policies, or procedures. The TRACS complaint policy is not designed to involve TRACS either as an arbiter in disputes between individuals and member institutions, or as a reviewing authority in individual matters concerning an institution's normal role in the daily functioning of the institution including disciplinary matters or contractual rights. TRACS does not act as a grievance panel for cases where the outcome of a grievance is unsatisfactory to a complainant.~~

Responsibilities of Institutions

1. ~~The institution has adequate policies and procedures for addressing written student and employee complaints and is responsible for demonstrating that it follows those procedures when resolving complaints. Institutions are expected to be in compliance with the appropriate TRACS Standards regarding processes for handling complaints by students and employees:~~
2. ~~The record of all complaints is maintained in a designated office, is made available to TRACS upon request and is made available for review by Evaluation Team members as part of the institution's scheduled reviews for Candidate, Accredited and / or Reaffirmation status.~~

Procedures for Filing a Complaint against an Institution

1. ~~Individuals making an inquiry to TRACS regarding complaint procedures or about issues and concerns that could be considered complaints will be directed to the TRACS website with instructions for downloading the packet containing the *Policies and Procedures for Complaints Against Member Institutions*, the *TRACS Complaint Information Sheet*, and the *TRACS Complaint Processing Form*. TRACS response and its obligations to meet the specific timetables outlined in these procedures will begin only after the complainant has submitted all required documents.~~
2. ~~A formal complaint is one that is:

 - a. ~~Submitted in writing using the *TRACS Complaint Processing Form* (including all required supporting documentation);~~
 - b. ~~Signed; and~~
 - c. ~~Sent to the attention of the President of TRACS by the complainant(s). Complaints which are not in writing, anonymous, or sent electronically or through facsimile transmission will not be considered.~~~~
3. ~~Once the complainant has filed a complaint, the following procedures will be followed for review and consideration of the complaint:

 - a. ~~TRACS will acknowledge receipt of the complaint within 15 working days.~~
 - b. ~~Within 30 working days of receipt of the complaint, TRACS staff will review the complaint and its documentation and determine:

 - i. ~~Whether the issue(s) raised in the complaint fall within the jurisdiction of TRACS and if the issues(s) are related to one or more of the TRACS Standards;~~
 - ii. ~~If there is adequate documentation in support of the complaint; and~~
 - iii. ~~Whether the complaint raises questions regarding the institution's compliance with the TRACS Standards sufficient to require the institution to submit information and documentation regarding the complaint.~~~~~~
4. ~~By the end of the 30 working days review, TRACS will inform the complainant regarding one of the following dispositions of the complaint:

 - a. ~~The complaint will not be processed further because the issues raised in the complaint do not fall within the jurisdiction of TRACS (not related to a TRACS Standard) or because there is inadequate documentation to raise questions concerning the institution's compliance with TRACS Standards.~~
 - b. ~~Documentation is inadequate and additional documentation may be necessary from the complainant.~~
 - c. ~~The institution will be required to submit information and documentation regarding the complaint.~~~~

- ~~5. If the institution is required to submit information and documentation regarding the complaint, TRACS will forward a copy of the complaint to the institution's Chief Executive Officer who will be required to respond and provide all documentation to TRACS within 30 working days of receipt of the notification from TRACS.~~
- ~~6. Within 20 working days of receipt of the institution's response, the President of TRACS will make one of the following determinations regarding the complaint and will notify the institution and complainant accordingly:

 - ~~a. There is insufficient evidence of significant non-compliance on the part of the institution and the complaint will not be processed further. The decision of the President of TRACS is final.~~
 - ~~b. TRACS is unable to determine compliance at that time and the case will either be included in any already scheduled visits to the institution or a Focus Team will be sent to the institution to examine documents, interview appropriate individuals, make a determination regarding the compliance of the institution, and prepare a report with recommendations for the institution to bring areas of non-compliance into compliance.~~
 - ~~c. No response was received from the institution or evidence suggests the institution is in significant non-compliance with one or more TRACS Standards and what steps will be taken to correct the non-compliance issues, up to and including possible adverse action.~~~~
- ~~7. If the President of TRACS determines that either b. or c. above is applicable, by the end of the 20 working days, the President of TRACS will notify the complainant and the institution that a determination regarding the complaint has been made and which of the above options TRACS will pursue.~~
- ~~8. As appropriate, the President of TRACS will present the findings of any Focus Team report to the Commission along with a recommendation for specific action at the next scheduled meeting; at which time the Commission will make a decision regarding the disposition of the complaint and any determinations of non-compliance. The decision of the Commission is final.~~
- ~~9. Following the Commission meeting, the complainant and institution will be notified of the final decision of the Commission.~~

TRACS BP211. B. stipulates the following:

When the President of TRACS determines from an institution's annual report, the findings of an Evaluation Team, the findings of a staff visit, findings resulting from a complaint against an institution, or any other source available that an institution may not to be in compliance with one or more of the Accreditation Standards, the President of TRACS will initiate a review of that institution.

The findings of non-compliance from that review may lead to an action against the institution.

COMPLAINTS AGAINST TRACS

Complaints against TRACS are limited, in that individuals may file a complaint against TRACS and/or its staff on matters on which they believe they have been personally aggrieved. This type of complaint covers those situations in which an individual believes that TRACS and/or its staff did not follow its policies and procedures in the handling of a complaint against a member institution. Institutions may file a complaint against TRACS for failure to follow a policy or procedure, against a TRACS staff member's alleged failure to follow a TRACS policy or

~~procedure, against a peer evaluator's alleged bias against the institution or an alleged conflict of interest in working with an institution. In order to be considered a formal complaint against TRACS, a complaint must involve issues broader than a concern about a specific institutional action.~~

Procedures for Filing a Complaint against TRACS

- ~~1. If the complaint is against a TRACS staff member or an agency representative, such as a peer evaluator, the following procedures apply:

 - ~~a. The individual (or institution) should submit the written complaint including a description of the specific issue accompanied by supporting documentation.~~
 - ~~b. The complaint is to be sent to: President, Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest, VA 24551.~~
 - ~~c. The President will acknowledge receipt of the complaint within 15 working days of its receipt.~~
 - ~~d. Within 30 working days of receipt of the complaint, the President of TRACS will review the complaint and its documentation and determine:

 - ~~i. If there is adequate documentation in support of the complaint.~~
 - ~~ii. Whether the complaint raises questions regarding a failure of TRACS and/or its staff or any peer evaluator serving on behalf of TRACS in following TRACS policies and procedures in the matter(s) noted in the complaint.~~~~
 - ~~e. The President will inform the complainant of the disposition of the complaint within 30 working days of receipt of the complaint.~~~~

- ~~2. If the complaint is against the President of TRACS, the following procedure applies;

 - ~~a. The individual should submit a written complaint to the Chair of the TRACS Commission that includes a description of the complaint and all supporting documentation. The complaint should be sent to: Commission Chair (For Chair Only), Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest, VA 24551.~~
 - ~~b. The Chair will acknowledge the complaint within 30 working days of receipt and will designate a committee composed of members of the Executive Committee of the Accreditation Commission to review the complaint (including all documents submitted by the complainant), request and review information submitted in writing from the President of TRACS, and recommend actions to the Chair within 30 working days of the beginning of the Executive Committee's review.~~
 - ~~c. The Chair will review the Executive Committee recommendation and inform the complainant and the President of TRACS of action to be taken within 30 days of receipt of the Executive Committee's recommendation.~~
 - ~~d. Concern that a TRACS action was not in accord with the complainant's expectations is not in and of itself cause for review of the complaint.~~~~

- ~~3. If the complaint is against a member of the TRACS Commission (such as that a Commissioner failed to recuse him or herself from the discussion and vote where an alleged conflict of interest existed or evidence that the Commission failed in the application of a policy or procedure), the following procedures apply;

 - ~~a. The individual should submit a written complaint to the Chair of the TRACS Commission that includes a description of the complaint and all supporting documentation. The complaint should be sent to: Commission Chair (For Chair Only), Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest, VA 24551.~~~~

- ~~b. The Chair will acknowledge the complaint within 30 working days of its receipt and will designate a committee composed of members of the Executive Committee of the Accreditation Commission to review the complaint (including all documents submitted by the complainant), request and review information in writing from the Commissioner named in the complaint, and recommend action to the Chair within 30 working days of the beginning of the Executive Committee's review.~~
 - ~~c. The Chair will review the Executive Committee's recommendation and inform the complainant and the Commission member and the President of TRACS of the action within 30 days of receipt of the Executive Committee's recommendation.~~
 - ~~d. Concern that a Commission action was not in accord with the complainant's expectations is not in and of itself cause for review of the complaint.~~
- ~~4. If the complaint is against the Chair of the Commission, the Vice Chair will assume the role detailed above.~~

THIRD-PARTY COMMENTS REGARDING INSTITUTIONS OR ACTIONS OF THE TRACS ACCREDITATION COMMISSION

~~TRACS recognizes the value of information provided by members of the public in determining whether a member institution is maintaining compliance with all TRACS Standards and is maintaining appropriate procedures, exhibiting procedural fairness and applying policies consistently. Therefore, TRACS invites the public to submit comments designed to enable TRACS to address an institution's possible significant non-compliance with TRACS Standards and assure the proper and uniform application of their own policies and procedures, as they relate to the TRACS Standards. All TRACS Standards are provided in the *TRACS Accreditation Manual*~~

~~Unsolicited third party comments regarding the TRACS Commission are limited, in that individuals may only submit comments regarding the TRACS Commission and/or its staff on any Commission action where they believe they have been personally aggrieved. This type of comment covers those situations in which an individual believes that the TRACS Commission and/or its staff did not follow its policies and/or procedures in the handling of an issue under consideration~~

Procedures for Filing a Third-Party Comment

~~Any individual may file a third-party comment regarding an accredited or candidate institution or the TRACS Commission. The names of all institutions holding candidacy or accredited status with TRACS are posted on the TRACS website, www.tracs.org. TRACS has established procedures for filing a third party comment.~~

- ~~1. Third-party comments should be filed in accordance with the following procedures:~~
 - ~~a. The individual should submit the third party comment in written form including the commenter's name, mailing address, e-mail address, phone number, and a clear statement describing the performance of the institution in relation to compliance with the TRACS Standard with which the institution is allegedly not compliant or the policy or procedure which TRACS has not properly followed.~~
 - ~~b. All third party comments are to be sent to: President, Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest, VA 24551.~~
 - ~~c. The President will acknowledge receipt of the complaint within 15 working days of its receipt.~~

- ~~d. If the commenter indicates that his or her identity may not be shared, TRACS will make every effort to preserve the confidentiality of the commenter. However, depending on the nature of the comment and the circumstances, it may not be possible to determine the validity of the comment unless this information is shared. For this reason, TRACS staff may choose to disregard any comment that indicates that the commenter wishes not to have his or her identity shared.~~
- ~~e. All third party comments should be accompanied by appropriate supporting documentation. TRACS will not consider unsupported comments.~~
- ~~f. Within 30 working days of receipt of the third party comment, the President of TRACS will review the third party comment and its documentation and determine:

 - ~~i. If there is adequate documentation in support of the allegations.~~
 - ~~ii. Whether the issues raise questions regarding the institution's compliance with the TRACS Standards sufficient to require the institution to submit information and documentation regarding those issues.~~
 - ~~iii. Whether the TRACS Commission or Staff has failed to follow a TRACS Policy or Procedure.~~~~
- ~~g. Normally, no additional response is made to the individual filing a third party comment. If appropriate, staff may contact the commenter for clarification or additional information.~~
- ~~h. For comments regarding an institution, the President of TRACS will determine the appropriate handling of the comment which may include, but is not limited to:

 - ~~i. Sending the information to the institution for its input and follow up.~~
 - ~~ii. Referring the information or a summary of issues to a future Evaluation or Focus Team with instructions to verify information contained in the comment with other sources in order to determine its validity.~~
 - ~~iii. Holding the information in a file for future reference.~~
 - ~~iv. Dismissing the comment for lack of evidence of non-compliance.~~
 - ~~v. Proceeding to the Commission Actions Against Institutions policy and procedures.~~~~
- ~~i. For comments regarding the TRACS Commission or Staff, The President of TRACS will prepare a report for the next scheduled meeting of the Accreditation Commission which includes recommendations for resolving the issues identified in the third party comment.~~

FIELDING INQUIRIES FROM THE MEDIA

~~TRACS believes that it is in the best interest of TRACS, complainants, member institutions and those offering third party comments to deal with members of the news media in a consistent and timely manner. TRACS has the responsibility to protect the integrity and privacy of both the complainant or commenter and the institution. All telephone calls or e-mails from members of the media shall be forwarded to the President of TRACS. Neither the President of TRACS nor any staff member shall comment on specific situations involving member institutions or offer responses to hypothetical situations.~~

~~Media shall be directed by the President of TRACS to the appropriate location on the TRACS website for information regarding the Complaint Policy and Procedures and TRACS Standards.~~

MEANS OF COMMUNICATION

~~After the receipt of the initial hard copy complaint or third party comment, correspondence may be in writing, through e-mail, or by any reasonable means which helps to facilitate a solution to the issues at the lowest possible level.~~

RETENTION OF RECORDS

~~Individual complaints will be retained in the TRACS Office. Should a number of individual complaints suggest a pattern of concern which may evidence a significant lack of compliance with TRACS Standards that was not evident from any individual complaint, TRACS may renew its consideration of the matter for whatever action may be appropriate. All records regarding complaints shall be retained for a minimum of 5 years.~~

The Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools (TRACS) values the role of information provided by students, employees, and others in performing its role of monitoring institutional compliance with TRACS Accreditation Standards. TRACS is also interested in assuring that member institutions maintain appropriate grievance and due process procedures, provide procedural fairness, and consistently apply their policies and procedures.

Complaints Against A Member Institution

The TRACS procedures for the review of complaints involving member institutions are designed to enable TRACS to address possible violations of the TRACS Standards and the proper and uniform application by institutions of their own policies and procedures, as they relate to the TRACS Accreditation Standards.

TRACS only considers complaints which are in accordance with the following:

- The complaint or allegation contains no defamatory statements.
- All attempts have been taken to resolve the issue through all formal means available to the complainant, including the institution's published grievance and due process procedures before the complaint is submitted to TRACS.
- The complaint is not currently in an institution's formal proceedings or in litigation. (TRACS may, at its discretion, choose to proceed with the review in such cases if there is substantial, credible evidence that indicates systemic problems with the institution against which a complaint has been filed or if a delay would harm the complainant.
- Complaints are submitted in writing on the TRACS *Complaint Processing Form* and in accordance with the provisions detailed on the TRACS *Complaint Information Sheet*. (Complaints made verbally, sent electronically, or sent through facsimile transmission will not be accepted.)
- Two hard copies of all materials are sent to: President, Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest VA 24551.
- TRACS will not act on complaints submitted on behalf of another individual, are anonymous, or complaints sent through channels other than those provided.

The TRACS complaint procedures are for the purpose of addressing any significant noncompliance by member institutions with the TRACS Accreditation Standards, policies, or procedures. The TRACS complaint policy is not designed to involve TRACS either as an arbiter in disputes between individuals and member institutions, or as a reviewing authority in individual matters concerning an institution's normal role in the daily functioning of the institution including disciplinary matters or contractual rights. TRACS does not act as a

grievance panel for cases where the outcome of a grievance is unsatisfactory to a complainant.

Thus, TRACS will not interpose itself as an adjudicatory or grievance-resolving body in matters including:

- Admission
- Granting or transfer of academic credit
- Grades
- Fees
- Student financial aid
- Student discipline
- Transcripts
- Collective bargaining, faculty or staff appointments, promotion, tenure, contractual rights and obligations, and dismissals or similar matters.

Responsibilities of Institutions

1. The institution has adequate policies and procedures for addressing written student and employee complaints and is responsible for demonstrating that it follows those procedures when resolving complaints. Institutions are expected to be in compliance with the appropriate TRACS Standards regarding processes for handling complaints by students and employees.
2. The record of all complaints is maintained in a designated office, is made available to TRACS upon request and is made available for review by Evaluation Team members as part of the institution's scheduled reviews for Candidate, Accredited and / or Reaffirmation status.

Procedures for Filing a Complaint Against a Member Institution

1. Individuals making an inquiry to TRACS regarding complaint procedures or about issues and concerns that could be considered complaints will be directed to the TRACS website with instructions for downloading the packet containing the *Policies and Procedures for Complaints Against Member Institutions*, the *TRACS Complaint Information Sheet*, and the *TRACS Complaint Processing Form*. TRACS response and its obligations to meet the specific timetables outlined in these procedures will begin only after the complainant has submitted all required documents.
2. A formal complaint is one that is:
 - a. Submitted in writing using the *TRACS Complaint Processing Form* (including all required supporting documentation);
 - b. Signed; and
 - c. Sent to the attention of the President of TRACS by the complainant(s). Complaints which are not in writing, anonymous, or sent electronically or through facsimile transmission will not be considered.
3. Once the complainant has filed a complaint, the following procedures will be followed for review and consideration of the complaint:
 - a. TRACS will acknowledge receipt of the complaint within 15 working days.
 - b. Within 30 working days of receipt of the complaint, TRACS staff will review the complaint and its documentation and determine:
 - i. Whether the issue(s) raised in the complaint fall within the purview of TRACS and if the issues(s) are related to one or more of the TRACS Accreditation Standards;
 - ii. If there is adequate documentation in support of the complaint; and

- iii. Whether the complaint raises questions regarding the institution's compliance with the TRACS Standards sufficient to require the institution to submit information and documentation regarding the complaint.
4. By the end of the 30 working days review, TRACS will inform the complainant regarding one of the following dispositions of the complaint:
 - a. The complaint will not be processed further because the issues raised in the complaint do not fall within the jurisdiction of TRACS (not related to a TRACS Standard) or because there is inadequate documentation to raise questions concerning the institution's compliance with TRACS Standards.
 - b. Documentation is inadequate and additional documentation may be necessary from the complainant.
 - c. The institution will be required to submit information and documentation regarding the complaint.
5. If the institution is required to submit information and documentation regarding the complaint, TRACS will forward a copy of the complaint to the institution's Chief Executive Officer who will be required to respond and provide all documentation to TRACS within 30 working days of receipt of the notification from TRACS
6. Within 20 working days of receipt of the institution's response, the President of TRACS will make one of the following determinations regarding the complaint and will notify the institution and complainant accordingly:
 - a. There is insufficient evidence of significant non-compliance on the part of the institution and the complaint will not be processed further. The decision of the President of TRACS is final.
 - b. TRACS is unable to determine compliance at that time and the case will either be included in any already scheduled visits to the institution or a Focus Team will be sent to the institution to examine documents, interview appropriate individuals, make a determination regarding the compliance of the institution, and prepare a report with recommendations for the institution to bring areas of non-compliance into compliance.
 - c. No response was received from the institution or evidence suggests the institution is in significant non-compliance with one or more TRACS Standards and what steps will be taken to correct the non-compliance issues, up to and including possible adverse action.
7. If the President of TRACS determines that either b. or c. above is applicable, by the end of the 20 working days, the President of TRACS will notify the complainant and the institution that a determination regarding the complaint has been made and which of the above options TRACS will pursue.
8. As appropriate, the President of TRACS will present the findings of any Focus Team report to the Commission along with a recommendation for specific action at the next scheduled meeting; at which time the Commission will make a decision regarding the disposition of the complaint and any determinations of non-compliance. The decision of the Commission is final.
9. Following the Commission meeting, the complainant and institution will be notified of the final decision of the Commission.

TRACS BP211. B. stipulates the following:

When the President of TRACS determines from an institution's annual report, the findings of an Evaluation Team, the findings of a staff visit, findings resulting from a complaint against an institution, or any other source available that an institution may not to be in compliance with one or more of the Accreditation Standards, the President of TRACS will initiate a review of that institution.

The findings of non-compliance from that review may lead to an action against the institution.

Complaints Against TRACS

Complaints against TRACS are limited, in that individuals may file a complaint against TRACS and/or its staff on matters on which they believe they have been personally aggrieved. This type of complaint covers those situations in which an individual believes that TRACS and/or its staff did not follow its policies and procedures in the handling of a complaint against a member institution. Institutions may file a complaint against TRACS for failure to follow a policy or procedure, against a TRACS staff member's alleged failure to follow a TRACS policy or procedure, against a peer evaluator's alleged bias against the institution or an alleged conflict of interest in working with an institution. In order to be considered a formal complaint against TRACS, a complaint must involve issues broader than a concern about a specific institutional action.

Procedures for Filing a Complaint Against TRACS

An information sheet concerning the filing of an official complaint with TRACS and the complaint form to be downloaded, completed, and submitted may be found on the TRACS website.

1. If the complaint is against a TRACS staff member or an agency representative, such as a peer evaluator, the following procedures apply:
 - a. The individual (or institution) should submit the written complaint including a description of the specific issue accompanied by supporting documentation.
 - b. The complaint is to be sent to: President, Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest, VA 24551.
 - c. The President will acknowledge receipt of the complaint within 15 working days of its receipt.
 - d. Within 30 working days of receipt of the complaint, the President of TRACS will review the complaint and its documentation and determine:
 - i. If there is adequate documentation in support of the complaint.
 - ii. Whether the complaint raises questions regarding a failure of TRACS and/or its staff or any peer evaluator serving on behalf of TRACS in following TRACS policies and procedures in the matter(s) noted in the complaint.
 - e. The President will inform the complainant of the disposition of the complaint within 30 working days of receipt of the complaint.
2. If the complaint is against the President of TRACS, the following procedures apply:
 - a. The individual should submit a written complaint to the Chair of the TRACS Commission that includes a description of the complaint and all supporting documentation. The complaint should be sent to: Commission Chair (For Chair Only), Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest, VA 24551.
 - b. The Chair will acknowledge the complaint within 30 working days of receipt and will designate a committee composed of members of the Executive Committee of the Accreditation Commission to review the complaint (including all documents submitted by the complainant), request and review information submitted in writing from the President of TRACS and recommend actions to the Chair within 30 working days of the beginning of the Executive Committee's review.
 - c. The Chair will review the Executive Committee recommendation and inform the complainant and the President of TRACS of action to be taken within 30 days of receipt of the Executive Committee's recommendation.

- d. Concern that a TRACS action was not in accord with the complainant's expectations is not in and of itself cause for review of the complaint.
3. If the complaint is against a member of the TRACS Commission (such as that a Commissioner failed to recuse him or herself from the discussion and vote where an alleged conflict of interest existed or evidence that the Commission failed in the application of a policy or procedure), the following procedures apply:
 - a. The individual should submit a written complaint to the Chair of the TRACS Commission that includes a description of the complaint and all supporting documentation. The complaint should be sent to: Commission Chair (For Chair Only), Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools, 15935 Forest Rd., Forest, VA 24551.
 - b. The Chair will acknowledge the complaint within 30 working days of its receipt and will designate a committee composed of members of the Executive Committee of the Accreditation Commission to review the complaint (including all documents submitted by the complainant), request and review information in writing from the Commissioner named in the complaint and recommend action to the Chair within 30 working days of the beginning of the Executive Committee's review.
 - c. The Chair will review the Executive Committee's recommendation and inform the complainant and the Commission member and the President of TRACS of the action within 30 days of receipt of the Executive Committee's recommendation.
 - d. Concern that a Commission action was not in accord with the complainant's expectations is not in and of itself cause for review of the complaint.
4. If the complaint is against the Chair of the Commission, the Vice Chair will assume the role detailed above.

Complaints and the News Media

TRACS believes that it is in the best interest of TRACS, complainants, and member institutions to deal with members of the news media in a consistent and timely manner. TRACS has the responsibility to protect the integrity and privacy of both the complainant and the institution. All telephone calls or e-mails from members of the media shall be forwarded to the President of TRACS. Neither the President of TRACS nor any staff member shall comment on specific situations involving member institutions or offer responses to hypothetical situations.

Media shall be directed by the President of TRACS to the appropriate location on the TRACS website for information regarding the Complaint Policy and TRACS Standards.

Means of Communication

After the receipt of an official complaint, correspondence may be in writing, through e-mail, or by any reasonable means which helps to facilitate a solution to the issues at the lowest possible level.

Retention of Records

Official complaints will be retained in the TRACS Office. Should a number of official complaints against a member institution suggest a pattern of concern which may evidence a significant lack of compliance with TRACS Standards that was not evident from any individual complaint, TRACS may renew its consideration of the matter for whatever action may be appropriate. All records regarding official complaints shall be retained for a minimum of 5 years.

BP202

Institutional ~~Liaisons~~ Leadership Reporting to TRACS

Reference: None
Adoption Date: June 2000
Last Revision Date: ~~April 2019~~ October 2022

At the time of application, an institution will identify the person(s) ~~who will serve as the institutional liaison(s) concerning its dealings and communication with TRACS. Often the institution's Chief Executive Officer or Chief Executive Officer will fill this role.~~ holding current institutional leadership positions. The institution must identify the persons serving in the following positions:

- Governing Board Chair
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Chief Academic Officer (CAO)
- Chief Financial Officer (CFO)
- Accreditation Liaison Officer (ALO) to TRACS *

The institution will notify TRACS of any changes to these designated ~~liaison(s)~~ positions. The institution is responsible for providing a current listing of the persons serving in these roles and providing appropriate documentation to verify the person, role/position, title, academic credentials, and contact information within 15 days of filling the position. (This includes persons serving on an interim basis.)

A *Leadership Change Reporting Form* for communicating changes of these key positions is available on the TRACS website (tracs.org).

* The Accreditation Liaison Officer (ALO) is appointed by the President of the institution and is responsible for initiating and coordinating any TRACS reporting processes, such as Institutional Changes, the Annual Operational Report, and the Self Study Report.

BP307

Third-Party Comments

Reference: None
 Adoption Date: June 2000
 Last Revision Date: ~~April 2019~~ October 2022

~~TRACS encourages and solicits third-party comments regarding institutions under consideration for Candidate Status, Accredited Status, or Reaffirmation of Accreditation. In addition to the solicitation of written comments from interested parties, TRACS may solicit comments at a public hearing or at any relevant public hearing sponsored by a third party. Comments may be submitted in any of the following ways:~~

- ~~1. A letter or email to the President of TRACS sent in response to a list of institutions under consideration for Candidate Status, Accredited Status, or Reaffirmation of Accreditation.~~
- ~~2. A letter or email to the President of TRACS regarding the institutionally required public announcement of a pending Evaluation Team visit.~~
- ~~3. A personal appearance at the time of the Evaluation Team visit, provided the third party gives written notice of the desire to present comments regarding the institution and the notice is provided to the President of TRACS or the team chair in advance of the visit.~~

Third-Party Comments Regarding Evaluation Team Visits

TRACS encourages and solicits third-party comments regarding institutions scheduled to host an Evaluation Team Visit for consideration for Candidate Status, Accredited Status, or Reaffirmation of Accreditation. In addition to the solicitation of written comments from interested parties, TRACS may solicit comments at a public hearing or at any relevant public hearing sponsored by a third party. A list of the member institutions scheduled to host Evaluation Team Visits is posted on the TRACS website.

At least one month before an Evaluation Team Visit is scheduled to take place, the host institution must notify the public of the pending visit on the institution's website. The notification should provide guidance for the submission of third-party comments regarding the visit.

Sample wording for the notification is as follows:

(Institution's Name) is scheduled to host an Evaluation Team from the Transnational Association of Christian Colleges and Schools (TRACS) from (dates of the visit). This visit concerns the granting of (status sought).

Constituents and members of the public are invited to submit third-party comments concerning (Institution's Name)'s qualifications for (status sought). Third-party comments should be submitted to thirdpartycomments@tracs.org. TRACS will receive third-party comments on this matter until 14 days before the scheduled Evaluation Team Visit.

TRACS shares third-party comments with members of the Evaluation Team prior to the visit, but at no time during the review process will third-party comments be shared with (Institution's Name). During its review, the Evaluation Team considers third-party comments only as they relate to the TRACS Accreditation Standards.

TRACS is approved by the U.S. Department of Education as a nationally recognized

institutional accrediting agency and appears on the DOE Secretary's List of Approved Accrediting Agencies, which is provided in the Higher Education Directory. TRACS is also recognized by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA).

Third-Party Comments Regarding Institutional Compliance, TRACS Procedures and / or Actions of the Accreditation Commission

TRACS recognizes the value of information provided by members of the public regarding a member institution's compliance with TRACS Accreditation Standards and whether TRACS maintains appropriate procedures, exhibits procedural fairness, and applies its policies consistently. Therefore, TRACS invites the public to submit comments designed to enable TRACS to address an institution's possible significant non-compliance with TRACS Standards and assure the proper and uniform application of their own policies and procedures, as they relate to the TRACS Accreditation Standards as presented in the *TRACS Accreditation Manual*.

Unsolicited third-party comments regarding the TRACS Accreditation Commission are limited, in that individuals may only submit comments regarding the Commission and/or its staff on any Commission action where they believe they have been personally aggrieved. This type of comment covers those situations in which an individual believes that the TRACS Commission and/or its staff did not follow its policies and/or procedures in the handling of an issue under consideration.

Procedures for Filing a Third-Party Comment

Any individual may file a third-party comment regarding a scheduled Evaluation Team Visit, a member institution's compliance with a TRACS Accreditation Standard, the TRACS Accreditation Commission, or a TRACS staff member. The names of all member institutions, TRACS Accreditation Commissioners and TRACS staff are posted on the TRACS website.

TRACS has established the following procedures for submitting a third party comment.

1. Third-party comments should be submitted to *thirdpartycomments@tracs.org* and should include the commenter's name, and a clear statement describing the issue to be addressed.
2. TRACS will acknowledge receipt of the comment within 15 working days of its receipt.
3. If the commenter indicates that his or her identity may not be shared, TRACS will make every effort to preserve the confidentiality of the commenter. However, depending on the nature of the comment and the circumstances, it may not be possible to determine the validity of the comment unless this information is shared. For this reason, TRACS staff may choose to disregard any comment that indicates that the commenter wishes not to have his or her identify shared.
4. All third-party comments should be accompanied by appropriate supporting documentation. TRACS will not consider unsupported comments.
5. Within 30 working days of receipt of the third-party comment, the President of TRACS will review the third-party comment and its documentation and determine:
 - a. If there is adequate documentation in support of the comment.
 - b. Whether the issues raise questions regarding the institution's compliance with the TRACS Standards sufficient to require the institution to submit information and documentation regarding those issues or whether the TRACS Commission or Staff has failed to follow a TRACS Policy or Procedure.
6. Normally, no additional response is made to the individual filing a third-party comment. If appropriate, staff may contact the commenter for clarification or additional information.

7. For comments regarding an institution, the President of TRACS will determine the appropriate handling of the comment which may include, but is not limited to:
 - a. Sending the information to the institution for its input and follow up.
 - b. Referring the information or a summary of issues to a future Evaluation or Focus Team with instructions to verify information contained in the comment with other sources in order to determine its validity.
 - c. Holding the information in a file for future reference.
 - d. Dismissing the comment for lack of evidence of non-compliance.
 - e. Forwarding the matter to the Accreditation Commission for appropriate action.
8. For comments regarding the TRACS Accreditation Commission or TRACS staff, The President of TRACS will prepare a report for the next scheduled meeting of the Accreditation Commission which includes recommendations for resolving the issue(s) identified in the third-party comment.

Third-Party Comments and the News Media

TRACS believes that it is in the best interest of TRACS, member institutions, and those offering third-party comments to deal with members of the news media in a consistent and timely manner. TRACS has the responsibility to protect the integrity and privacy of both the commenter and the institution. All telephone calls or e-mails from members of the media shall be forwarded to the President of TRACS. Neither the President of TRACS nor any staff member shall comment on specific situations involving member institutions, any member of the Accreditation Commission, or any TRACS staff member or offer responses to hypothetical situations.

Media shall be directed by the President of TRACS to the appropriate location on the TRACS website for information regarding third-party comments and TRACS Standards.